Case3:15-cv-01761-JST Document23 Filed08/13/15 Page1 of 15

1 2 3 4 5	LYNNE C. HERMLE (STATE BAR NO. 99779) SHANNON B. SEEKAO (STATE BAR NO. 267536) ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 Telephone: 650-614-7400 Facsimile: 650-614-7401 lchermle@orrick.com sseekao@orrick.com			
6	Attorneys for Defendant FREMONT GROUP MANAGEMENT, L.P.			
8	I DUTED OT A TE	C DICTRICT COLUDT		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10		CISCO DIVISION		
11	SAN FRANC	LISCO DIVISION		
12	SIGRID MALICDEM,	Case No. 15-CV-01761-JST		
13	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT FREMONT GROUP		
14	V.	MANAGEMENT, L.P.'S ANSWER TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT		
15	FREMONT GROUP, L.L.C.; FREMONT	Action Filed: April 20, 2015		
16	GROUP MANAGEMENT, L.P.,			
17	Defendants.			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		ANSWER TO FAC		

OHSUSA:762095465.1

15-CV-01761-JST

- 1			
1	Defendant Fremont Group Management, L.P. hereby answers the unverified First		
2	Amended Complaint ("FAC") of Plaintiff Sigrid Malicdem by admitting, denying and alleging as		
3	follows:		
4	JURISDICTION AND INTRA-DISTRICT ASSIGNMENT		
5	1. As to Paragraph 1 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual		
6	contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations		
7	therein.		
8	2. As to Paragraph 2 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual		
9	contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations		
10	therein.		
11	<u>PARTIES</u>		
12	3. As to Paragraph 3 of the FAC, Defendant lacks knowledge or information		
13	sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations concerning Plaintiff's residency.		
14	Defendant admits that Plaintiff was formerly employed by Fremont Group Management, L.P. in		
15	San Francisco. Except as so admitted, Defendant denies the remaining allegations therein.		
16	4. As to Paragraph 4 of the FAC, the allegations are vague as to "Defendant" and on		
17	that basis Defendant denies each and every allegation therein.		
18	<u>ADMINISTRATIVE EXHAUSTION</u>		
19	5. As to Paragraph 5 of the FAC, Defendant lacks knowledge or information		
20	sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and on that basis denies each and		
21	every allegation therein.		
22	6. As to Paragraph 6 of the FAC, Defendant lacks knowledge or information		
23	sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and on that basis denies each and		
24	every allegation therein.		
25			
26			

¹ Defendant Fremont Group, L.L.C. was dismissed without prejudice on July 21, 2015. Fremont Group Management, L.P. is the only remaining defendant in this matter.

- 7. As to Paragraph 7 of the FAC, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 8. As to Paragraph 8 of the FAC, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 9. As to Paragraph 9 of the FAC, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and/or the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on those bases Defendant denies each and every allegation therein.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. As to Paragraph 10 of the FAC, Defendant admits the allegations therein.
- 11. As to Paragraph 11 of the FAC, the allegations are vague as to "strong ratings" and on that basis Defendant denies each and every allegation therein.
 - 12. As to Paragraph 12 of the FAC, Defendant admits the allegations therein.
 - 13. As to Paragraph 13 of the FAC, Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 14. As to Paragraph 14 of the FAC, Defendant admits Plaintiff received multiple salary increases and bonuses; except as so admitted, Defendant denies the remaining allegations therein.
- 15. As to Paragraph 15 of the FAC, the allegations are vague as to "significant injury" and on that basis Defendant denies each and every allegation therein. Defendant also lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and on that additional basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 16. As to Paragraph 16 of the FAC, Defendant denies the allegations therein and also lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and on that additional basis denies each and every allegation therein.

- 17. As to Paragraph 17 of the FAC, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 18. As to Paragraph 18 of the FAC, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 19. As to Paragraph 19 of the FAC, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 20. As to Paragraph 20 of the FAC, Defendant admits that Plaintiff requested time off to attend medical appointments and also requested additional work restrictions from Plaintiff's doctor. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the remaining allegations and on that additional basis denies each and every additional allegation therein.
- 21. As to Paragraph 21 of the FAC, Defendant admits that Plaintiff's 2014 performance review included the highest rating in the "Overall Assessment" section. Except as so admitted, Defendant denies the remaining allegations therein.
- 22. As to Paragraph 22 of the FAC, Defendant admits that Plaintiff's 2014 performance review stated that she did a "great job". Except as so admitted, Defendant denies the remaining allegations therein.
 - 23. As to Paragraph 23 of the FAC, Defendant denies the allegations therein.
 - 24. As to Paragraph 24 of the FAC, Defendant denies the allegations therein.
 - 25. As to Paragraph 25 of the FAC, Defendant denies the allegations therein.
 - 26. As to Paragraph 26 of the FAC, Defendant denies the allegations therein.
 - 27. As to Paragraph 27 of the FAC, Defendant denies the allegations therein.
 - 28. As to Paragraph 28 of the FAC, Defendant denies the allegations therein.

- 43. As to Paragraph 43 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 44. As to Paragraph 44 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 45. As to Paragraph 45 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 46. As to Paragraph 46 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 47. As to Paragraph 47 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA FAMILY RIGHTS ACT OF 1991)

- 48. As to Paragraph 48 of the FAC, Defendant incorporates herein by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 to 47, above.
- 49. As to Paragraph 49 of the FAC, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and/or the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on those bases Defendant denies each and every allegation therein.
- 50. As to Paragraph 50 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.

- 51. As to Paragraph 51 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 52. As to Paragraph 52 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 53. As to Paragraph 53 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 54. As to Paragraph 54 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 55. As to Paragraph 55 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 56. As to Paragraph 56 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein .

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF DISABILITY IN VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT AS AMENDED BY THE ADA AMENDMENTS ACT OF 2008)

- 57. As to Paragraph 57 of the FAC, Defendant incorporates herein by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 to 56, above.
- 58. As to Paragraph 58 of the FAC, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and/or the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on those bases Defendant denies each and every allegation therein.

- 59. As to Paragraph 59 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 60. As to Paragraph 60 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 61. As to Paragraph 61 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 62. As to Paragraph 62 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 63. As to Paragraph 63 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 64. As to Paragraph 64 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 65. As to Paragraph 65 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 66. As to Paragraph 66 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 67. As to Paragraph 67 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.

1
•

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF DISABILITY IN VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT)

- 68. As to Paragraph 68 of the FAC, Defendant incorporates herein by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 to 67, above.
- 69. As to Paragraph 69 of the FAC, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and/or the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on those bases Defendant denies each and every allegation therein.
- 70. As to Paragraph 70 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 71. As to Paragraph 71 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 72. As to Paragraph 72 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 73. As to Paragraph 73 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 74. As to Paragraph 74 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 75. As to Paragraph 75 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.

76. As to Paragraph 76 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(FAILURE TO PROVIDE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION ON THE BASIS OF DISABILITY IN VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT)

- 77. As to Paragraph 77 of the FAC, Defendant incorporates herein by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 to 76, above.
- 78. As to Paragraph 78 of the FAC, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations and/or the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on those bases Defendant denies each and every allegation therein.
- 79. As to Paragraph 79 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 80. As to Paragraph 80 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 81. As to Paragraph 81 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 82. As to Paragraph 82 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 83. As to Paragraph 83 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.

	•	1	
		ı	
		1	
		•	•

3

5

7

8

10

11

1213

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

24

23

25

26

27

28

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY)

- 84. As to Paragraph 84 of the FAC, Defendant incorporates herein by reference its responses to Paragraphs 1 to 83, above.
- 85. As to Paragraph 85 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 86. As to Paragraph 86 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 87. As to Paragraph 87 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.
- 88. As to Paragraph 88 of the FAC, the allegations set forth contain no factual contentions or assert only legal conclusions, and on that basis Defendant denies the allegations therein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST DEFENSE

1. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant alleges that the FAC, and each purported cause of action therein, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND DEFENSE

2. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant alleges that no conduct by or attributable to Defendants was the cause in fact or legal cause of the damages, if any, suffered by Plaintiff.

THIRD DEFENSE

3. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant alleges that, should it be determined that Plaintiff has been damaged, then said damages were

1	proximately caused by her own conduct, including her refusal to give Defendant her network		
2	administrator password.		
3	FOURTH DEFENSE		
4	4. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant		
5	alleges that Plaintiff's claims are barred by the applicable statutes of limitation.		
6	<u>FIFTH DEFENSE</u>		
7	5. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant		
8	alleges that Plaintiff, by her acts or omissions, is estopped from asserting any claims upon which		
9	she now seeks relief.		
10	SIXTH DEFENSE		
11	6. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant		
12	alleges that Plaintiff failed to exhaust her administrative remedies and prerequisites to suit.		
13	SEVENTH DEFENSE		
14	7. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant		
15	alleges that Plaintiff's recovery in this action is barred in whole or in part by her failure to		
16	exercise reasonable care and diligence to mitigate any damages allegedly accruing to her.		
17	EIGHTH DEFENSE		
18	8. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant		
19	alleges that Plaintiff failed to comply with California Labor Code sections 2854, 2856, 2858 and		
20	2859 to the extent that Plaintiff failed to use ordinary care and diligence in the performance of her		
21	duties, failed to substantially comply with the reasonable directions of her alleged employer, and		
22	failed to exercise a reasonable degree of skill in performing her job duties.		
23	<u>NINTH DEFENSE</u>		
24	9. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant		
25	alleges that recovery by Plaintiff is barred in whole or in part by the doctrines of in pari delicto,		
26	unclean hands and/or after-acquired evidence.		
27			
20			

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

TENTH DEFENSE

10. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant alleges that the alleged actions complained of by Plaintiff were not based upon discriminatory reasons, but were based upon legitimate, non-discriminatory, job-related reasons.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

11. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant alleges that Plaintiff is barred from any recovery in this action because Defendant's conduct was a just and proper exercise of managerial discretion and business judgment.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

12. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant alleges that to the extent that unlawful reasons were motivating factors for any of the conduct alleged in the FAC, which Defendant denies, legitimate reasons, standing alone, would have induced Defendant to make the same employment decisions.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

13. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant alleges that liability may not be imposed upon Defendant for the acts complained of because Defendant exercised reasonable care to prevent and correct promptly any alleged discriminatory, retaliatory or otherwise unlawful behavior and Plaintiff unreasonably failed to take advantage of preventive or corrective opportunities provided or to avoid harm otherwise.

FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

14. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant alleges that liability may not be imposed upon it for the acts complained of because those acts, if any, were not committed within the course and scope of employment of any employee of Defendant and/or because Defendant took prompt and appropriate action upon learning of the alleged acts.

1	<u>FIFTEENTH DEFENSE</u>		
2	15. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant		
3	alleges that it was not reasonable and an undue hardship to accommodate Plaintiff's alleged		
4	disability.		
5	SIXTEENTH DEFENSE		
6	16. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant		
7	alleges that Plaintiff was unable to perform the essential job duties with or without reasonable		
8	accommodation.		
9	SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE		
10	17. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant		
11	alleges that at all times and places mentioned in the FAC, Defendant acted without malice and		
12	with a good faith belief in the propriety of its conduct.		
13	EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE		
14	18. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant		
15	alleges that it cannot be liable for punitive damages because, at the time of the alleged acts or		
16	omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims for punitive damages, Defendant had implemented in		
17	good faith one or more policies prohibiting the alleged acts or omissions and/or had otherwise		
18	made good faith efforts to comply with the applicable law.		
19	NINETEENTH DEFENSE		
20	19. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant		
21	alleges that Plaintiff fails to state facts sufficient to support an award of compensatory damages		
22	against Defendant.		
23	TWENTIETH DEFENSE		
24	20. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant		
25	alleges that Plaintiff fails to state facts sufficient to support an award of exemplary, punitive,		
26	liquidated and/or emotional distress damages against Defendant, as a result, in part, of the false		
27	nature of her allegations. Moreover, any award of punitive damages in this case would violate the		
28			
1	H		

Case3:15-cv-01761-JST Document23 Filed08/13/15 Page15 of 15

1	due process, equal protection and excessive fines provisions of the California and United States				
2	Constitutions.				
3	TWENTY FIRST DEFENSE				
4	21.	21. As a separate defense to the FAC and to each cause of action therein, Defendant			
5	alleges that	the exclusive remedy, if any, for	or some or all of the	ne damages alleged by Plaintiff is	
6	under the California Workers' Compensation Act, Cal. Lab. Code § 3200, et seq.				
7	Defendant has insufficient knowledge or information on which to form a belief as to				
8	whether it has any additional, as yet unstated, defenses available. Defendant reserves the right to				
9	assert additi	ional defenses in the event disc	overy indicates it	would be appropriate to do so as well	
0	as countercl	laims			
1			PRAYER		
2	1.	1. That the FAC be dismissed with prejudice and that Plaintiff take nothing thereby;			
3	2.	2. That judgment be entered in favor of Defendant on all claims;			
4	3.	3. For Defendant's costs of suit herein, including reasonable attorney's fees; and			
15	4.	4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just.			
6	Dated: August 13, 2015 LYNNE C. HERMLE				
7	SHANNON B. SEEKAO Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP				
8					
19			By:	Shannon B. Seekao	
20			-	SHANNON B. SEEKAO Attorneys for Defendant	
21			FRE	EMONT GROUP MANAGEMENT, L.P.	
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	1				